	Case 2:24-cv-02578-WBS-CSK Do	cument 2 Filed 11/04/24 Page 1 of 2	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11		No. 2:24-cv-02566-WBS-CSK	
12	IN RE: STEVEN WAYNE BONILLA	No. 2:24-cv-02569-WBS-CSK	
13		No. 2:24-cv-02571-WBS-CSK	
14		No. 2:24-cv-02577-WBS-CSK	
15		No. 2:24-cv-02578-WBS-CSK	
16		No. 2:24-cv-02606-WBS-CSK	
17		No. 2:24-cv-02617-WBS-CSK	
18		No. 2:24-cv-02619-WBS-CSK	
19		No. 2:24-cv-02621-WBS-CSK	
20		No. 2:24-cv-02625-WBS-CSK	
21			
22			
23		ORDER	
24			
25			
26	Plaintiff Steven Wayne Bonilla is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in the		
27	above-captioned civil actions. On November 29, 2018, the Court declared Plaintiff a vexatious		
28	litigant and ordered the Clerk of the Court not to file or assign a civil case number to any		
	1		

1 proposed habeas corpus petition or civil action related to Plaintiff's criminal conviction in 2 Alameda County. (See Bonilla v. Fresno County, Case No. 2: 18-cv-2544-TLN-KJN, ECF No. 3 13). On June 14, 2023, the Court modified its vexatious litigant Order and directed the Clerk of 4 the Court to open a new case for each attempted new pleading and assign it to the Court for 5 review. (Id. at ECF No. 26.) If the Court determines the new filing is related to Plaintiff's 6 Alameda County criminal conviction, the case will be ordered dismissed and closed. (Id.) 7 The Court has reviewed the complaints/petitions filed in the above-captioned cases and 8 finds they are related to Plaintiff's Alameda County criminal conviction.¹ 9 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that 2:24-cv-02566, 2:24-cv-02569, 2:24-cv-10 02571, 2:24-cv-02577, 2:24-cv-02578, 2:24-cv-02606, 2:24-cv-2617, 2:24-cv-2619, 2:24-cv-11 02621 and 2:24-cv-02625 are DISMISSED; the Clerk of the Court is directed to close these cases. 12 No further filings will be accepted. 13 Dated: November 1, 2024 WILLIAM B. SHUBB 14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 ¹ In the caption of 2:24-cv-02578, plaintiff names the Merced County Superior Court. In the captions of 2:24-cv-02617, 2:24-cv-02619 and 2:24-cv-02621, plaintiff names the Inyo County 25 Superior Court. In the caption of 2:24-cv-02625, plaintiff names the Yolo County Superior Court. To the extent plaintiff intended to raise the claims raised in these cases in the United 26 States District Court for the Eastern District of California, this Court finds that the claims raised in 2:24-cv-02578, 2:24-cv-02617, 2:24-cv-02619, 2:24-cv-02621 and 2:24-cv-02625 are related 27

to Plaintiff's Alameda County criminal conviction.

28